



7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Ian A. Stewart

Attorney Docket No. WRLD-1-1013

Serial No.: 10/085,524

Group Art Unit: --

Filing Date: February 26, 2002

Examiner: WOOD, D.

Title: METHOD FOR SECURE MULTICAST REPEATING ON THE PUBLIC INTERNET

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (a)

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

REMARKS

On May 24, 2002, agents for the applicant filed a Response to Missing Parts with a Petition for Filing on Behalf of a Non-Signing Inventor. Enclosed is a copy of the Decision Refusing Status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b), mailed October 11, 2002. After the Decision was received, the inventor was located and signed the attached Declaration.

Applicant submits that now that a signed Declaration from the inventor has been included, the petition is moot. Because the petition is moot, the applicant requests cancellation of the enclosed check of \$130 for the petition fee.

Respectfully submitted,

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM^{PLLC}

Michael S. Smith
Registration No. 39,563
Direct Dial: 206.749.9888

Adjustment date: 11/21/2002 AKELLEY
11/14/2002 TTRAN1 00000037 10085524
01 FC:1460 -130.00 OP
Repln. Ref: 11/21/2002 AKELLEY 0013322700
DAH:501050 Name/Number:10085524
FC: 9204 \$130.00 CR

MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this communication is being deposited with the United States Postal Service via First Class Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.08 on the date indicated below addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, BOX DAC, Washington D.C., 20231.

11/8/02
Date of Deposit

Robert E. Walz

11/14/2002 TTRAN1 00000037 10085524

01 FC:1460

130.00 OP

RECEIVED

NOV 15 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



25315

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

- 1 -

WRLD-1-1013PET2


BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM^{PLLC}

816 Second Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104
206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov
GW 1002



BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM
816 SECOND AVE.
SEATTLE WA 98104

RECEIVED
OCT 15 2002
Time Received
Black Lowe & Graham PLLC

Paper No. 5

RECEIVED

NOV 15 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COPY MAILED

OCT 11 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Ian A. Stewart :
Application No. 10/085,524 : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: 26 February, 2002 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(b)
Attorney Docket No. WRLD-1-1013 :

This is in response to the petition filed on 3 June, 2002, under 37 CFR 1.47(b)¹.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor.

FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.

Extensions of time may be obtained in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on 26 February, 2002, without an executed oath or declaration.

Accordingly, on 25 March, 2002, Initial Patent Examination Division mailed a Notice To File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application requiring an executed oath or declaration and a

¹A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is inappropriate in this instance since no inventor has signed the declaration. A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is only appropriate where some of the named inventors signed the declaration and one or more of the named inventors refuses to sign or cannot be found.

surcharge for its late filing.

In response, on 3 June, 2002 (certificate of mailing date 24 May, 2002), the present petition was filed, accompanied by the late filing surcharge.

Petitioner asserts that the inventor has refused to sign the declaration.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) requires:

(1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);

(2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;

(3) the petition fee;

(4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor;

(5) proof of proprietary interest, and

(6) proof of irreparable damage.

The petition lacks items (1), (2), (4), (5), and (6).

In regards to item (1), petitioners must supply proof that a copy of the application (specification including claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration) was sent or given to the non-signing inventor for review.² The showing of record is that only the declaration was ever sent to the inventor. Petitioners should submit a copy of the cover letter transmitting the application papers to the non-signing inventor or details given in an affidavit or declaration of facts by a person having first-hand knowledge of the details.

Likewise, before a *bona fide* refusal to sign can be alleged, petitioners must show that the application papers were sent or given to the non-signing inventor. If the inventor refuses to sign the declaration in writing after being sent or given the application, a copy of that written refusal should be supplied with any renewed petition. If the refusal was made orally to a person, then that person must provide details of the refusal in an affidavit or declaration of facts.

As to item (2), an oath or declaration for the patent application

²MPEP 409.03(d).

in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 has not been presented. The oath or declaration must identify the residence, mailing address, if the inventor lives at a different location from where he or she customarily receives mail, as well as the citizenship of the inventor. The oath or declaration must be signed by an officer of the corporation (president, vice president, secretary, or treasurer) on behalf of and as agent for the non-signing inventor(s). The officer must identify his/her title in the declaration, as well as his/her mailing address, residence, if the applicant lives at a different location from where he or she customarily receives mail, as well as the citizenship of individual signing on behalf of the non-signing inventor. If the oath or declaration is not signed by an officer of the corporation, then proof of authority of the person signing on behalf of the corporation must be submitted.³

As to item (4), petitioner must provide the last known address of the non-signing inventor.⁴

In regards to item (5), petitioner must show proof of proprietary interest. Petitioner may make this showing by providing a copy of any employment agreement between the non-signing inventor and the Rule 1.47(b) applicant (employer); a copy of an assignment agreement showing that the invention disclosed in the application is assigned to the Rule 1.47(b) applicant; or a legal memorandum signed by an attorney familiar with the law of the jurisdiction stating that a court of competent jurisdiction would, by the weight of authority in that jurisdiction award the title of the invention to the Rule 1.47(b) applicant.

As to item (6), petitioner must provide a statement that the filing is necessary to prevent irreparable damage or to preserve the rights of the parties.⁵

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Box DAC
 Washington, D.C. 20231

³MPEP 409.03(b).


⁴MPEP 409.03(e).

⁵MPEP 409.03(g).

By FAX: (703) 308-6916
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Crystal Plaza Four, Suite 3C23
2201 S. Clark Place
Arlington, VA

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.


Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy